1SIMULTANEOUS DETERMINATION OF CHOLECALCIFEROL AND 25-2HYDROXYCHOLECALCEFEROL IN LIPID-BASED SELF-NANOEMULSIFYING 3FORMULATIONS AND MARKETED PRODUCT VI-DE 3[®] BY UHPLC-UV"

4

5Ibrahim Aljuffali¹, Fahad Almarri¹, A F M Motiur Rahman², Fars K. Alanazi¹, Musaed Alkholeif¹ 6and Mohsin Kazi¹*,

7¹Kayyali Chair for Pharmaceutical Industries, College of Pharmacy, King Saud University,

8Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

9² Department of Pharmaceutical chemistry, College of Pharmacy, King Saud University, Riyadh,10Saudi Arabia

11

12Address for Correspondence

13Mohsin Kazi, PhD

14Associate Professor, Kayyali Chair for Pharmaceutical Industries

15Department of Pharmaceutics, College of Pharmacy, P.O.Box-2457

16King Saud University, Riyadh-11451, Saudi Arabia

17Tel: +966 (1) 4677372, Fax: +966 (1) 4676295, Email: mkazi@ksu.edu.sa

18

20Abstract:

21**Purpose:** The purpose of the current study was to develop a selective, precise, fast and 22economical reverse phase ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC UV) 23advanced method and validate for the simultaneous estimation of cholecalciferol and its analogue 2425-hydroxycholecalciferol in lipid-based self-nanoemulsifying formulation (SNEDDS). 25**Method:** The chromatographic separation was simply performed on a Dionex[®] UHPLC systems 26(Ultimate 3000, Thermo scientific) by using HSS C_{18} (2.1x50 mm, 1.8µm) analytical column. 27The UV detection was carried out isocratically with the mobile phase consisting of acetonitrile 28and methanol in the ratio of (50:50 %v/v) with a flow rate of 0.4 ml/min at 265nm. The injection 29volume was 1µl and the column temperature was maintained at 45°C. FDA regulatory guidelines 30were used to develop and validate the method. **Results:** The current developed UHPLC-UV 31method was found to be rapid (run time 2 min), and selective with high resolution of 32cholecalciferol and 25-hydroxycholecalciferol (RT=0.530 min & 1.360 min) from different lipid 33matrices. The method was highly sensitive (Limit of Detection and Lower Limit of 34Quantification were 0.13ppm & 0.51ppm, and 0.15ppm & 0.54ppm, respectively). The linearity, 35accuracy and precision were determined as suitable over the concentration range of 0.5-50.0 ppm 36 for both the analytes. **Conclusion:** The proposed UHPLC-UV method can be used for the 37determination of cholecalciferol and 25-hydroxycholecalciferol in SNEDDS and marketed Vi-De 383[®] as pure forms (intact) with no interference of excipients or drug related substances.

39**Key words**: Cholecalciferol, 25-hydroxycholecalciferol, UHPLC, Lipid-based formulation, 40Method validation

42Introduction:

Vitamin D is a fat soluble vitamin naturally occurs in two different major molecular Vitamin D₂ (Ergocalciferol) and vitamin D₃ (cholecalciferol) [1]. Vitamin D₂ is naturally vitamin D₂ (Ergocalciferol) and vitamin D₃ (cholecalciferol) [1]. Vitamin D₂ is naturally vitamin low amounts in certain foods, whereas vitamin D₃ (Fig 1) is synthesized in the skin vitamin exposure to sunlight and has more effectiveness in humans. Calcitriol (25vitamin D₃ which is essential for vitamin body normal calcification of the skeleton and bone mineralization. [2, 3]. vitamination is a poorly water soluble compound belongs to the class II in biopharmaceutics soclassification system [4].

Vitamin D₃ chemically described as $C_{27}H_{44}O$ or (3S,5Z,7E)-9,10-Secocholesta-5,7,10-52trien-3-ol with a melting point between 83°C and 86°C [5]. Vitamin D3 is taken by consumers 53regularly as a supplement to support enhance calcium absorption and function within the body. 54As with many steroid compounds, when vitamin D₃ breaks down in the body, the subsequent 55molecules are passed into the nucleus of certain cells and determine/change which genes are 56going to be turned on or off. Many reports advise that the steroid hormone similarity of vitamin 57D may deliver some anticancer activity. Vitamin D₃ is found structurally similar to that of 58steroidal hormones like testosterone, cortisol and cholesterol, despite being a secosteroid. 59Physician and researchers may prescribe or use Vitamin D for osteomalacia, which is caused by 60underminalized bones [6].

Vitamin D_3 is only available as tablets and alcoholic liquids in the market (oral drops Vi-62De 3[®] and Vidrop[®] which contain 4500 IU/ml and 2800 IU/ml). More importantly, about 65% of 63ethanol is used as a solvent in the marketed formulation Vi-De 3[®] which produce unpalatable 64taste and lead to harmful impact on the health due to high risk of alcohol side effect [7]. The

65recommended daily doses of vitamin D3 ranges from 400 to 600 IU in healthy volunteers. 66According to the pharmacokinetics, it is a poorly water soluble drug with solubility of (1.03 × 6710^{-6}) Mole (log p 9.1) [8] and it has low, variable and incomplete absorption. Therefore, it is a 68suitable candidate for lipid-based formulation, which could improve the aqueous solubility and 69oral absorption rate [9, 10].

Many methods have been described in the literature for the determination of 71cholecalciferol (vitamin D3) and its analogue 25-htdroxycholecalciferol (25-OH-vitamin D3) 72individually and in combination with other drugs [11-14]. However, there is no HPLC method 73developed for the simultaneous determination of these compounds, which is required for both *in* 74*vitro* and *in vivo* studies. Therefore, the present work describes a fast, precise, linear and accurate 75reversed phase UHPLC-UV method for the simultaneous determination of cholecalciferol along 76with its analogue 25-hydroxycholecalciferol in lipid-based dosage form (SNEDDS).

An intend to develop a UHPLC method for simultaneous determination with greater 78sensitivity and faster elution, the present analytical method was validated following the ICH 79guidelines [15].

Within the scope of the current method, cholecalciferol and its analog were assayed and 81validated using an advanced UHPLC system, which reduced the time of analysis and the use of 82excess solvent. Apart from reducing time and solvent, the current instrument method allows the 83system to reduce high back pressure without any harmful effect to the analytical column which 84can make the column last longer. The method described here is successfully applied to the 85analysis of lipid-based formulations containing cholecalciferol and marketed product Vi-De 3[®] 86with no interference from dosage form excipients.

88Experimental

89 Materials

All chemicals used in the present study were obtained from commercial suppliers. 91Cholecalciferol and 25-hydroxycholecalciferol (purity > 98.5%) were obtained as a gift sample 92from Riyadh Pharma Co. Ltd. Riyadh, KSA. Moringa oleiferra oil (MO, cold pressed), Imwitor 93988 (I988, medium chain mono- & di-glycerides) and HCO-30 (non-ionic surfactant of 94hydrogenated castor oil) were used to prepare self-nanoemulsifying lipid formulations 95(SNEDDS), supplied by Sasol Germany GmbH, Werk Witten, Germany and Nikkol Chemical 96Co, Japan. The marketed product Vi-De 3[®] was purchased from the local pharmacy in Riyadh, 97KSA. The high purity Milli-Q water was obtained through a Milli-Q Integral Water Purification 98System (Millipore, Bedford, MA). All other reagents used in the study were of analytical grade 99and used as such without any further purification.

100 Methods

101 UHPLC instrumentation

102 After a successful UHPLC chromatographic separation it is optimized with respect to the 103stationary/ mobile phase compositions, flow-rate, sample volume, temperature of column and 104detection wavelength in UV. The study was performed on highly sensitive UHPLC system that 105consisted of a Dionex [®] UHPLC binary solvent manager equipped with a Dionex[®] automatic 106sample manager and a Photodiode Array (PDA) $e\lambda$ detector obtained from Thermo scientific, 107Bedford, MA, USA. The isocratic mixer of mobile phase consisting of acetonitrile and methanol 108in the ratio of (50:50%v/v) with a flow rate of 0.4 mL/min, delivered through an Acquity[®] 109UHPLC HSS C₁₈ column (2.1x50 mm, 1.8 μm) kept at 45 °C. The total run time was 2 min. The 110mobile phase was regularly prepared as fresh and degassed continuously by an online degasser 111within the UHPLC system. The detector wavelength was set at 265 nm and the injection volume 112was 1.0 μl.

113

114 Preparation of stock solution, calibration standards and QC samples

115 Standard stock solution was prepared by dissolving accurately weighted 50 mg 116cholecalciferol and its analogue 25-hydroxycholecalciferol each powder in 50 ml methanol, 117resulting in a solution containing 1000 ppm (1000µl/ml). For the purpose of calibration, the 118standard solutions of cholecalciferol & 25-hydroxycholecalciferol at six points were prepared by 119appropriate serial dilutions in methanol to cover the concentration range of 0.5-50.0 ppm 120(µg/ml). These standards solutions were freshly prepared and used immediately. Calibration 121curves were achieved for both cholecalciferol & 25-hydroxycholecalciferol by plotting peak area 122in comparison to standard drug concentration and regression equations were computed thereby. 123Three quality control (recovery studies) samples with the selected concentration levels (0.688, 1245.55, 22.00 ppm) were prepared from stock solution to obtain the desired range. For recovery 125studies, samples were prepared by spiking the self-nanoemulsifying lipid-based formulation 126(SNEDDS) with known amount of cholecalciferol & 25-hydroxycholecalciferol, and then 127diluting the mixture with appropriate volume of methanol.

128

129Vi-De3[®] sample preparation (commercial product)

Three 10 mL ample bottles (each bottle having cholecalciferol 45000 I.U., 1.125mg by 131Novartis, Switzerland) were accurately weighed and mixed using vortex mixture for 10 minutes. 132A standard unit dose (400-600 I.U) amount was weighed and located to a 50 mL volumetric flask 133and dissolved in methanol and then stirred and sonicated until its dissolved completely. The final 134solution was diluted to the working range (within calibration concentrations) for application of 135the developed method. The samples were filtered after dilution, through a 0.22 µm disposable 136nylon filter (Bellefonte, PA, USA) into amber colored glass auto sampler vials for UHPLC 137analysis.

138

139Formulation Matrix effect

Analyte and excipients under goes spectral overlapping which is very common in dosage 141and it can be a major drawback if the drug compound is analysed directly, which affects the 142sensitivity, accuracy and precision of the method [16]. Thus, it was necessary to execute UHPLC 143spectral scanning for both drug-free and drug-containing SNEDDS formulations to examine any 144possible excipients-drug interference. Within the method development of the current study, 145cholecalciferol was loaded in lipid-based dosage form at many low-high concentrations in order 146to check the extent of the matrix effect.

147 Method Validation

The current established UHPLC protocol has been validated in terms of systems 149suitability, linearity, accuracy, precision, selectivity, and recovery according to the standard 150method validation guidelines by ICH [17]. The precision and accuracy acceptance criteria were 151evaluated by consecutively injecting the standard and sample solutions and the amount of drugs 152(µg/ml), percentage content, standard deviations, percentage coefficient of variation (%CV 153determined precision of the method) were then calculated.

154

155 Linearity and range

Appropriate volume of cholecalciferol & 25-hydroxycholecalciferol stock solution 157(1000ppm) was utilized in the preparation of six non-zero standard drug concentrations covering 158the calibration range of 0.5-50.0 ppm. Three different quality control samples were prepared by 159spiking known concentrations of cholecalciferol & 25-hydroxycholecalciferol within the same 160detection range (0.5-50.0 ppm).

Each standard solution from 0.5ppm to 50.0ppm has been inserted as six replicates 162everyday on three successive days for validation. Calibration solutions were loaded in order to 163lower to higher concentrations in each validation run and the other samples were dispersed 164casually through the run.

165 The linearity of the results were statistically calculated by employing linear regression 166equation and correlation coefficient (R^2) [18].

167

168 Specificity

Specificity of the method was required to assess the matrix effect by comparing peak170areas between the drug and different SNEDDS formulations.

171 The specificity of the method was evaluated through the whole assay period using drug 172free lipid formulation (representative SNEDDS) samples and cholecalciferol & 25173hydroxycholecalciferol analyte. The retention times of the drug free lipid constituents were 174matched with that of cholecalciferol & 25-hydroxycholecalciferol analyte. In addition, towards 175the establishment of the method, specificity was also studied by determination of the intact drug 176in terms of resolution (*R*) among the drug peak and the nearby interference peak if present.

177 Accuracy and precision

The Intra-day accuracy and precision of the proposed method were evaluated by 179analyzing six replicates of each six cholecalciferol & 25-hydroxycholecalciferol standards within 180the same day. Similarly, the Inter-day accuracy and precision were also obtained during the three 181consecutive days using six replicates analysis of the low, medium and high quality control 182samples. The complete precision and accuracy of the method was specified as relative standard 183deviation (RSD) and as % drug recovered, respectively.

184 Limit of Detection (LOD) and Lower Limit of Quantification (LLOQ)

The method for determination of LOD and LLOQ is very common in analytical methods 186that exhibit base line noise. The detection and quantification levels were resolute by sequential 187dilutions of cholecalciferol & 25-hydroxycholecalciferol stock solutions in order to get signal to 188noise (S/N) ratio of at least \approx 3:1 for LOD and \approx 10:1 for LLOQ. The LOD and LLOQ are the 189two concert characteristics in analytical method development and worked for peak height 190measurements.

191Statistical analysis

192 The standard error of mean (SEM \pm) were used to express the data. The significance of data was 193calculated by applying one-way ANOVA. P values <0.05 were considered significant.

195Results and discussion

196 UHPLC peak separation

210

211 ICH method validation

The precision and accuracy of the current method showed an excellent workability of the 213method. The precision was 20 % or better for LLOQ (%RSD) and 15 % or better for the

214remaining concentrations and the acceptable accuracies were 100 ± 20 % or better for LLOQ and 215100 ± 15 % or better for the remaining concentrations.

216

217 Linearity and range

A six-point standard calibration curve was constructed to see the linearity within the 219concentration range. The peak area response of cholecalciferol & 25-hydroxycholecalciferol was 220linear over the concentration range between 0.5 and 50.0 ppm (Fig.2). The result of linear 221regression gives the following mean equation:

y = 0.0833x - 0.0052 for cholecalciferol and y = 0.0866x - 0.0034 for 25-223hydroxycholecalciferol

Where *y* and *x* denote: the peak area and the concentration of the analyte respectively. 225This result shows an excellent linearity (approaching a straight line function) over the interval 226studied for both cholecalciferol and 25-hydroxycholecalciferol. The correlation coefficient (r) 227was recorded as 0.9993 for cholecalciferol and 0.9991 for 25-hydroxycholecalciferol (Fig 2) 228[18].

229

230 Accuracy and precision

The Intra-day and Inter-day accuracies were calculated as the % of drug recovered after 232analyzing six replicates of the quality control samples at five nominal concentration levels. The 233Intra-day and inter-day accuracies were found in between 100.20% and 104.87% respectively. 234The results from the drug recovery studies confirm that the accuracy of the assay method was 235within the acceptable limits according to the ICH guidelines.

236

237 Precision

Repeatability: Five concentrations of cholecalciferol and 25-hydroxycholecalciferol 239(0.6875, 1.375, 5.50, 11.00 and 44.00ppm) were analysed three times Intra-day. The developed 240method was found to be precise as the Intra-day standard deviation (SD) values (Table 1) of six 241replicate analyses were within the range of 0.012-0.14ppm. Within the analytical concentration 242range of 0.5-50.0 ppm, %CV values were less than 4.87%. The good percentage recoveries were 243obtained confirming the repeatability of the methods.

244 **Intermediate precision:** Five concentrations of cholecalciferol and 25-

245hydroxycholecalciferol mentioned previously were repeated Inter-daily on three different days 246for the analysis. The Inter-day (Table 1) accuracies of six replicates during the three consecutive 247days were between 0.042 and 0.31 ppm, whereas the %CV values were less than 3.85%. These 248low values of both SD and %CV during the Intra-day and Inter-day analysis thus met the 249acceptance criteria of precision for the proposed method.

250 Specificity

The specificity of the developed UHPLC method was investigated in order to measure control to the available dosage control to the available dosage control to the compound's peak using a control to the nearest resolving peak was taken as determinant for the resolution 255factor of the drug peak. The developed method was found to be specific for cholecalciferol & 25-256hydroxycholecalciferol without having any possible interference from the excipients. The result 257from a sample of SNEDDS solubility in dispersion studies (cholecalciferol & 25-258hydroxycholecalciferol loaded SNEDDS formulation) in Fig. 3D shows that there were no 259degradation products present in the sample containing cholecalciferol & 25-260hydroxycholecalciferol. It seems that cholecalciferol & 25-260hydroxycholecalciferol. It seems that cholecalciferol & 25-262assay can be calculated based on the availability of the cholecalciferol & 25-263hydroxycholecalciferol peaks only. Additionally, no significant interfering peaks were observed 264in randomly selected drug free lipid formulation samples at cholecalciferol & 25-265hydroxycholecalciferol retention times (Fig. 3C), which recommends that the compounds can be 266analyzed predominantly from SNEDDS formulations.

267 Limit of Detection (LOD) and Lower Limit of Quantification (LLOQ)

The LLOQ for cholecalciferol in the present assay was 0.51 µg/ml (ppm) which was 269assessed to be the lowermost concentration in the standard curve that can be measured with 270acceptable accuracy and precision for the cholecalciferol & 25-hydroxycholecalciferol analytes 271with S/N ratio of (84.9). To the best of our knowledge, this concentration was relatively low as 272compared to other available analytical methods that developed using the similar instruments. 273Conversely, LOD was 0.13 ppm with S/N ratio of (31.9). Both LLOQ and LOD were 274experimentally verified by six replicate injections of cholecalciferol & 25-275hydroxycholecalciferol standard concentrations (Table 3).

276 Matrix effect

The valuations of matrix effect denoted an integral part of authentication for quantitative 278analysis of drug in SNEDDS within the present analytical technique development. The effects of 279matrix were assessed at three concentration levels (0.688, 5.55, & 22.00ppm) for cholecalciferol 280& 25-hydroxycholecalciferol. In the present analysis, efficiency of process suggested that the 281proposed method was practically free from relative matrix effects for the determination of 282cholecalciferol & 25-hydroxycholecalciferol in lipid-based SNEDDS formulation.

283 Suitability of the systems

Systems suitability parameters were taken into consideration to confirm the highest 285precision of the systems. The variation (%RSD) in the peak surface area from six replicates 286injections of cholecalciferol and 25-hydroxycholecalciferol were 0.023% and 0.036%, which 287proved that the system is precise. The results of other chromatographic parameters such as peak 288tailing and theoretical plate numbers (shows column efficiency) are shown in Table 4. The 289overall analysis results show the acceptable performance of the system as the %RSD and the 290tailing peak are not more than 2.0 % and 1.53 respectively along with the theoretical plates, 291which are not less than 2000.

292

293 Application

Within the scope of the current research, the developed UHPLC method has been 295successfully used for the quantification of cholecalciferol & 25-hydroxycholecalciferol 296compounds in the studies of equilibrium solubility, and dynamic dispersion of the representative 297self-nanoemulsifying lipid-based formulations (SNEDDS) [19]. A dispersion profile is shown in 298Figure 4 as an example of the method application. The data represented an immediate release of

299cholecalciferol & 25-hydroxycholecalciferol from a SNEDDS formulation BSO: 300I988(7:3)/HCO30(50/50%w/w) in simulated intestinal media (FeSSIF). It can be indicated from 301the results that the current method quantified more than 97.5% cholecalciferol & 25-302hydroxycholecalciferol, which has absolute release immediately after dispersion and stayed in 303solution during 24 hrs time period. Comparatively, the present assay method has evaluated and 304reported extensive validation parameters as per ICH guidelines. The method has been proved to 305be acceptable, precise, accurate, and adequately sensitive and thus demands to be in use for 306further analytical studies.

307

308 Determination of cholecalciferol in commercial product

In order to assess the applicability of the UHPLC-UV method, the determination of 310cholecalciferol was performed in its Vi-De 3 commercial product. The procedure of sample 311preparation was carried out as described under Vi-De 3 sample preparation in the experimental 312section. Six replicate determinations for cholecalciferol were performed. Satisfactory results 313were obtained in a good agreement with the label claimed. The obtained results were validated 314by spiking cholecalciferol standards of three concentration levels to Vi-De3 samples. The 315recoveries were obtained in the range of 100.20–101.80% Table 2. Figure 3E shows the UHPLC 316chromatograms of cholecalciferol in Vi-De 3® marketed solution. The chromatograms did not 317show any interference, as no detectable matrix peak was eluted in the retention time of 318cholecalciferol. Nevertheless, there are slight changes in the retention time (≈0.150min variation) 319of the analyzed compounds; this could be due to the matrix effect of the column.

321Conclusion

The developed UHPLC analytical method provides a reliable, reproducible and specific 323assay for cholecalciferol & 25-hydroxycholecalciferol in pure and available pharmaceutical 324formulations. The described method is sensitive enough to detect as low as 0.13 ppm and 325exclusively offer a rapid determination of cholecalciferol & 25-hydroxycholecalciferol (peak at 3260.530 min & 1.360 min within 2 min run time). No significant interferences were recorded by the 327formulation excipients, diluents and or degradation products. The validation method allows 328quantification of cholecalciferol & 25-hydroxycholecalciferol in pure and pharmaceutical 329formulations in the range between 0.5 to 50.0 ppm. The established method satisfactorily fulfills 330all the criteria of the system suitability, peak integrity, and resolution of the drug peak. The 331overall results clearly stated that the current method is attractive due to the good selectivity for 332quantitative determination of cholecalciferol & 25-hydroxycholecalciferol in self-333nanoemulsifying lipid-based formulation and commercial product.

334

335Conflict of interests

336 The authors have declared that there is no conflict of interest.

337

338Acknowledgement

The authors would like to acknowledge Kayyali Chair for Pharmaceutical Industries for 340funding this project (KM-2018, Research Chair, Deanship of Scientific Research)

342 343	References
3441.	Ozturk, B., et al., Nanoemulsion delivery systems for oil-soluble vitamins: Influence of
345	carrier oil type on lipid digestion and vitamin D3 bioaccessibility. Food Chem, 2015.
346	187 : p. 499-506.
3472.	Coelho, I.M., et al., Bioavailability of vitamin D3 in non-oily capsules: the role of
348	formulated compounds and implications for intermittent replacement. Arq Bras
349	Endocrinol Metabol, 2010. 54 (2): p. 239-43.
3503.	Hogler, W., Complications of vitamin D deficiency from the foetus to the infant: One
351	cause, one prevention, but who's responsibility? Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab,
352	2015. 29 (3): p. 385-98.
3534.	Almouazen, E., et al., Nano-encapsulation of vitamin D3 active metabolites for
354	application in chemotherapy: formulation study and in vitro evaluation. Pharm Res,
355	2013. 30 (4): p. 1137-46.
3565.	Guttoff, M., A.H. Saberi, and D.J. McClements, Formation of vitamin D nanoemulsion-
357	based delivery systems by spontaneous emulsification: factors affecting particle size and
358	<i>stability</i> . Food Chem, 2015. 171 : p. 117-22.
3596.	Ozturk, B., et al., Formation and stabilization of nanoemulsion-based vitamin E delivery
360	systems using natural biopolymers: Whey protein isolate and gum arabic. Food Chem,
361	2015. 188 : p. 256-63.
3627.	Bartolucci, G., et al., Vitamin D3 quantification in a cod liver oil-based supplement. J
363	Pharm Biomed Anal, 2011. 55 (1): p. 64-70.

- 3648. Fahad Almarri, N.H., Fars K. Alanazi, Kazi Mohsin, Ibrahim A. Alsarra, Fadilah S.
- 365 Aleanizy, Faiyaz Shakeel, Solubility and thermodynamic function of vitamin D3 in
- *different mono solvents.* Journal of molecular liquids, 2017. **229**: p. 477-481.
- 3679. Mohsin Kazi, M.H.S.M.A.-b.M.D.H. and K.A. Fars, *Simultaneous determination of*
- 368 Curcumin (Cur) and Thymoquinone (THQ) in lipid based self-nanoemulsifying systems
- 369 and its application to the commercial product using UHPLC-UV-Vis spectrophotometer.
- 370 Current Pharmaceutical Analysis, 2017. **13**: p. 1-9.
- 37110. Kazi, M., H. Al-Qarni, and F.K. Alanazi, Development of oral solid self-emulsifying lipid
- 372 *formulations of risperidone with improved in vitro dissolution and digestion.* European
- Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics, 2017. **114**: p. 239-249.
- 37411. Wang, Z., et al., *Simultaneous measurement of plasma vitamin D(3) metabolites*,
- 375 including 4beta,25-dihydroxyvitamin D(3), using liquid chromatography-tandem mass
- 376 *spectrometry*. Anal Biochem, 2011. **418**(1): p. 126-33.
- 37712. Hall, W.L., et al., Development of a liquid chromatographic time-of-flight mass
- 378 spectrometric method for the determination of unlabelled and deuterium-labelled alpha-
- 379 *tocopherol in blood components*. Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry, 2003.
- **17**(24): p. 2797-2803.
- 38113. Bunch, D.R., A.Y. Miller, and S.H. Wang, *Development and validation of a liquid*
- 382 chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry assay for serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D2/D3
- 383 *using a turbulent flow online extraction technology.* Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory
- 384 Medicine, 2009. **47**(12): p. 1565-1572.

- 38514. Kobold, U., Approaches to measurement of Vitamin D concentrations Mass
- *spectrometry*. Scandinavian Journal of Clinical & Laboratory Investigation, 2012. 72: p.
 54-59.
- 38815. Q2A, I., (R1), Validation of Analytical Procedures: Text and Methodology. International
- 389 Conference on Harmonization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2005.
- 39016. Yu, L., B. Xiang, and Y. Zhan, *A simple high-performance liquid chromatographic*
- 391 method for the determination of acyclovir in human plasma and application to a
- 392 *pharmacokinetic study*. Arzneimittelforschung, 2008. **58**(4): p. 199-202.
- 39317. ICH, ICH Steering Committee, ICH Harmonized Tripartite Guidlines, Q1A(R2), Stability
 394 testing of new drug subtances and products. 2003.
- 39518. Mulholland, M. and D.B. Hibbert, *Linearity and the limitations of least squares*
- *calibration*. Journal of Chromatography A, 1997. **762**(1-2): p. 73-82.
- 39719. Mohsin, K., Design of lipid-based formulations for oral administration of poorly water-
- soluble drug fenofibrate: effects of digestion. AAPS PharmSciTech, 2012. 13(2): p. 637-
- 399

46.

400

402<u>Tables</u>

Table 1: Evaluation of accuracy and precision of the proposed method for the simultaneous 404determination of cholecalciferol & 25-hydroxycholecalciferol, by Intra- and Inter-day assay

	Assay	Nominal	Measured		%Accurac	
Drug name	, m	Conc.	Conc. ±SD	%Precision		%CV
	Туре	(ug/mL)	(ug/mL)		y	
	T .	0.6875	0.6931±0.055	0.82	100.80	7.96
	Intra-	1.375	1.408±0.012	2.40	102.40	0.85
	day	5.50	5.55±0.05	0.91	100.90	0.90
		11.00	11.06±0.07	0.55	100.50	0.63
Cholocalciforal		44.00	44.12±0.14	0.27	100.30	0.32
Cholecalcherol	T .	0.6875	0.7101±0.073 1	3.29	103.29	10.29
	Inter-	1.375	1.399 ± 0.042	1.75	101.75	3.00
	day	5.50	5.67±0.06	3.09	103.09	1.06
		11.00	11.13±0.07	1.18	101.18	0.63
		44.00	44.09±0.11	0.20	100.20	0.25
		0.6875	0.721±0.033	4.87	104.87	4.58
	Intra-	1.375	1.386±0.045	0.80	100.80	3.25
		5.50	5.66±0.05	2.91	102.91	0.88
	day	11.00	11.21±0.08	1.91	101.91	0.71
25-hydroxy-		44.00	44.19±0.09	0.43	100.43	0.20
cholecalciferol		0.6875	0.714±0.081	3.85	103.85	11.34
	Inter-	1.375	1.402 ± 0.060	1.96	101.96	4.28
		5.50	5.62±0.12	2.18	102.18	2.14
	day	11.00	11.04±0.31	0.36	100.36	2.81
		44.00	44.33±0.24	0.75	100.75	0.54

407**Table 2.** Recovery study of cholecalciferol & 25-hydroxycholecalciferol in spiked lipid-based 408formulation samples and marketed product Vi De3[®].

	Amount				
Drug name	added (µg/mL	Amount found ±SDª (µg/mL)	%Error	%Recovery	%RSD
)	0 = 00 + 0 00 4	0.01	102.01	1.00
(SNEDDS)	0.688	0.708±0.034	2.91	102.91	4.80
. ,	5.55	5.62 ± 0.05	1.26	101.26	0.89
Cholecalciferol	22.00	22.14±0.22	0.64	100.64	0.99
(SNEDDS) 25-	0.688	0.698±0.024	1.45	101.45	3.44
hydroxy	5.55	5.60±0.06	0.90	100.90	1.07
nyuroxy-	22.00	22.09±0.17	0.41	100.41	0.77
Cholecalciferol					
(Vi De®)	1.00	1.01±0.03	1.00	101.00	2.97
(5.00	5.09±0.16	1.80	101.80	3.14
Cholecalciferol	25.00	25.05±0.38	0.20	100.20	1.52

409SD, standard deviation: ^aMean of three measurements.

410

411**Table 3**. Statistical data of the regression equation for the determination of cholecalciferol & 25-

412hydroxycholecalciferol obtained from the proposed method.

		25-hydroxy	
Parameters	Cholecalciferol	Cholecalciferol	
Linearity range	0.5–50 ppm	0.5–50 ppm	
Intercept ^a	0.0052	0.0034	
Slope ^a	0.0833	0.0866	
Correlation coefficient (r ²)	0.9993	0.9991	
Limit of detection (LOD)	0.13 ppm	0.15	
Limit of quantification (LOQ)	0.51ppm	0.54	

413^{*a*}mean of three measurements

Parameters	Cholecalciferol	25-hydroxy
		Cholecalciferol
Retention Time (minutes)	1.36	0.53
Theoretical Plates	3135	2010
Tailing Factor	1.25	1.53
Peak Area %RSD	0.023	0.036
6		

Table 4: Systems suitability parameters of cholecalciferol & 25-hydroxycholecalciferol

417 Table 5: Determination and % recovery of cholecalciferol in commercial products Vi-De3®

		Manufacture	Amount	Found (µg/ml)	% of labelled
	Real sample	r	claimed/fortified	±SD	claim
	Cholecalciferol	Novartis,			
	45000 I.U/10ml	Switzerland	1125 µg	1110.32±34.75	98.70
1	8				
	•				
1	9	•.0			
2	0				
-	•				

421<u>Figures</u>

422Figure 1

(A)

424	
425	
426 (B)	

Figure 1: Chemical structure of cholecalciferol and its analogue 25-hydroxycholecalciferol

430Figure 2





432Methanol/ACN (50/50%v/v)

433

435Figure 3

(3A)



(3C)







449**Figure 3**: UHPLC chromatograms of blank sample (A), standard solution of cholecalciferol and 45025-hydroxycholecalciferol at concentration 10 ppm (B), drug-free lipid formulation sample 451(SNEDDS, BSO:I988(7:3)/HCO30 (50/50%w/w) (C), and drug-containing lipid formulation 452(SNEDDS in dispersion studies) sample (D) and marketed product Vi-De3® (E)

Figure 4



Figure 4: % Drug release of cholecalciferol from a SNEDDS dosage form [standard dose of 457vitamin D3 in BSO:I988 (7:3)/HCO30(1/1)] in simulated intestinal media. Arithmetic means 458(n=3) are shown, standard deviations are within the symbols