



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_64047
Title of the Manuscript:	Herbal tea formulation using different flavoured herbs with dried Corn Silk powder and its sensory and phytochemical analysis
Type of the Article	Original research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This article is suitable for publication in International Journal of Biochemistry Research and Review after some minor revision that I suggest, in Minor revision comments</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Review of the article Herbal tea formulation using different flavoured herbs with dried Corn Silk powder and its sensory and phytochemical analysis</p> <p>This article is an interesting and significant contribution, within the scope of the journal and suitable for publication after some minor revision.</p> <p>The English language should be improved.</p> <p>On page 1, at the end of the abstract it can be added that</p> <p>An insight into the differences of phytochemical properties, like antioxidants, of the mentioned herbal teas was also provided.</p> <p>In Keywords, after Herbal tea and corn silk, flavored herbs can be added, after sensory, phytochemical analysis can be added.</p> <p>In the Introduction, The drinking of tea began in China, instead of begun</p> <p>On page 2, paragraph 7, use the first capital letter for Cinnamon spice</p> <p>On page 3, move title 2. And subtitle 2.1 to the next page</p> <p>On page 4, in the first line, replace was collected from, instead of from from Line 4, were purchased from local market</p> <p>Paragraph 2, line 1, The corn silk was collected</p> <p>Paragraph 3, line 1, At the same day or the next day, washed corn silk was dried in tray drier for 6 hours at 40 °C, instead of 40oc</p> <p>Lines 2 and 3, Dried corn silk was crushed manually, grinded in an electric grinder and then</p>	



	<p>sieved through a 22 mesh sieve used for tea.</p> <p>Paragraph 4, lines 5 and 6, Timur and Gandraini were also milled in the same grinder.</p> <p>Line 7, Use one space between the number and the unite of measure, 2 mm, and simillarly in the entire manuscript between the numbers and the units of measure</p> <p>Move subtitle 2.2.4 to the next page</p> <p>On page 5, paragraph 1, line 1, were mixed with dried corn silk powder Line 4, at the temperature between 28 °C and 34 °C Replace Table 1, instead of Table no.1 In Table 1, use one space between the adequate numbers and mg</p> <p>Paragraph 2, line 2, use plural for the different nine flavoured herbal teas</p> <p>On page 6, paragraph 1, line 1, consumers</p> <p>Paragraph 2, lines 4 and 5, 50 ml of fresh infusion were used for sensory evaluation and 50 ml of each tea bagged infusion were kept in refrigerator at -20 °C for phytochemical analysis.</p> <p>On page 7, paragraph 2, line 1, For phytochemical analysis, infusions of all developed flavored herbal teas were used Line 6, total antioxidant activity was analyzed also according to method given by Williams et al.</p> <p>In the legend of Figure 2, use plural for Prepared infusions of all 9 herbal teas</p> <p>Move title 3 to the next page</p> <p>On page 8, line 9, could be given, instead of could given Line 10, add points after etc. and at the end of the sentence Line 11, Cinnamon is commonly used Lines 12 and 13, that is contained in cinnamon</p> <p>Move the entire Table 2 to the next page 9 On page 9, in Table 2, in the second line, first row with numerical values, replace 8.03±0.88, instead of 8.03±088 In the third line, second row with numerical values, replace 7.92±.0.84, instead of 7.92±.84</p>	
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>Line 9, field 1, I(CS:Clove +Timur + Gandraini)</p> <p>On page 10, in Figure 2, the abbreviation and brackets can be used for I(CS:Clove+Timur+Gandh.), instead of I(CS:Clove+Timur+Gandh..., optional</p> <p>On page 11, paragraph 1, line 1, concentration, instead of concentra-tion Paragraph 2, line 2, They impart quality and nutritional value and play a vital role Line 4, of different flavoured herbal teas were estimated Paragraph 4, In the other eight developed flavoured herbal teas flavonoid contents were also found to be in the range of 210.853±0.70 - 261.690±0.30 Move subtitle 3.2.2 to the next page</p> <p>On page 12, paragraph 2, line 4, add one space after the number, 64.201±0.40 among Line 5, printing error should be corrected, value instead of valye Paragraph 3, line 1, in all nine teas ranging from Move the entire Table 3 to the next page On page 13, In Table 3, numbers can be rounded to two decimal places, optional Some sentences, for example the fourth sentence in conclusion should be modified and improved.</p> <p>Check that the entire manuscript is formatted according to the style of the journal.</p>	
Optional/General comments	This article is an interesting and significant contribution, within the scope of the journal and suitable for publication after some minor revision.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> There are no ethical issues in this manuscript	
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?	There are no competing interest issues in this manuscript	
If plagiarism is suspected, please provide related proofs or web links.	There is no plagiarism in this manuscript	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 3: Declaration of Competing Interest of the reviewer:

Here reviewer should declare his/her competing interest. If nothing to declare he/she can write "I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer"

I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer

PART 4: Objective Evaluation:

Guideline	MARKS of this manuscript
<p>Give OVERALL MARKS you want to give to this manuscript (Highest: 10 Lowest: 0)</p> <p>Guideline: Accept As It Is: (>9-10) Minor Revision: (>8-9) Major Revision: (>7-8) Serious Major revision: (>5-7) Rejected (with repairable deficiencies and may be reconsidered): (>3-5) Strongly rejected (with irreparable deficiencies.): (>0-3)</p>	<p>Mark 9</p>

PART 5: Reviewer Details:

Name:	Department, University & Country	Position: (Professor/researcher/lecturer, etc.)	Email:	5-10 Keywords to describe specialization/expertise
Tamara Jovanovic	Department of Biomedical Engineering, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Belgrade, Kraljice Marije 16, 11120 Belgrade, Serbia	Associate research professor	tamara.jovanovic@sbb.rs tjovanovic@mas.bg.ac.rs	Nanomaterials and nanotechnologies, their synthesis characterization and applications, spectroscopy, optics and photonics, analytical methods, medicinal chemistry, biomedical engineering etc.
<p>Please provide the proper information (Name, University and Country). The 'Certificate' for reviewing this paper will be issued using this information.</p>				