Review Report Form

Paper ID: ICPMS42350

Paper title: A structural model to analyze the educational values in Chinese mathematical

Olympiad

Date sent to reviewer: December 21, 2020 Date of this review: December 22, 2020

ORGANIZATION (10%)	Score: (Total Points: 10)
1) Were the basic sections (Introduction,	It should be added and mentioned in Conclusion also, in
Conclusion, Literature Cited, etc.) adequate? If	the second sentence, that a structural model for
not, what is missing?	analyzing the educational values in Chinese
	mathematical Olympiad was established in this research
2) Did the writer use subheadings well to clarify	Subheading 3.2 should be complemented, for example,
the sections of the text? Explain.	as follows "Analysis results of the structural model", in
	order to clarify this section of the text.
	Caption of Table 2 should be "Unstandardized regression
	coefficients, estimated with the maximum likelihood
	method".
3) Was the material ordered in a way that was	The order of material, some paragraphs of the text,
logical, clear, easy to follow? Explain.	figures and tables should be modified or changed at
	some places, in order to be more logical, clear and easy
	to follow.
	The appropriate paragraph with the text explaining the
	content of the corresponding figure or table should be
	placed above that figure or table, including the last
	sentence that mentions what the adequate figure or
	table shows.
	For example, contained halaxy Table 2 should be placed
	For example, sentence below Table 2 should be placed
	above this table.
	above this table.
CITATIONS (20%)	above this table. Text explaining the content of Table 5 is missing and
CITATIONS (20%) 4) Did the writer cite sources adequately and	above this table. Text explaining the content of Table 5 is missing and should be added above that table.
	above this table. Text explaining the content of Table 5 is missing and should be added above that table. Score: (Total Points: 20)
4) Did the writer cite sources adequately and	above this table. Text explaining the content of Table 5 is missing and should be added above that table. Score: (Total Points: 20) If two or more references are cited at one place in the
4) Did the writer cite sources adequately and	above this table. Text explaining the content of Table 5 is missing and should be added above that table. Score: (Total Points: 20) If two or more references are cited at one place in the article, they should be listed in the same bracket.
4) Did the writer cite sources adequately and	above this table. Text explaining the content of Table 5 is missing and should be added above that table. Score: (Total Points: 20) If two or more references are cited at one place in the article, they should be listed in the same bracket. In reference 1, add the numbers of pages, in ref. 2 add
4) Did the writer cite sources adequately and	above this table. Text explaining the content of Table 5 is missing and should be added above that table. Score: (Total Points: 20) If two or more references are cited at one place in the article, they should be listed in the same bracket. In reference 1, add the numbers of pages, in ref. 2 add vol. and the no. of issue, in ref. 5 add vol., no. of issue
4) Did the writer cite sources adequately and	above this table. Text explaining the content of Table 5 is missing and should be added above that table. Score: (Total Points: 20) If two or more references are cited at one place in the article, they should be listed in the same bracket. In reference 1, add the numbers of pages, in ref. 2 add vol. and the no. of issue, in ref. 5 add vol., no. of issue and pages, in ref. 7 add pages, in ref. 9 add pages, in ref.
4) Did the writer cite sources adequately and appropriately? Note any incorrect formatting.	above this table. Text explaining the content of Table 5 is missing and should be added above that table. Score: (Total Points: 20) If two or more references are cited at one place in the article, they should be listed in the same bracket. In reference 1, add the numbers of pages, in ref. 2 add vol. and the no. of issue, in ref. 5 add vol., no. of issue and pages, in ref. 7 add pages, in ref. 9 add pages, in ref. 10 add number of issue, in ref. 12 add pages
4) Did the writer cite sources adequately and appropriately? Note any incorrect formatting. 5) Were all the citations in the text listed in the	above this table. Text explaining the content of Table 5 is missing and should be added above that table. Score: (Total Points: 20) If two or more references are cited at one place in the article, they should be listed in the same bracket. In reference 1, add the numbers of pages, in ref. 2 add vol. and the no. of issue, in ref. 5 add vol., no. of issue and pages, in ref. 7 add pages, in ref. 9 add pages, in ref. 10 add number of issue, in ref. 12 add pages All the citations in the text were listed in the Literature
4) Did the writer cite sources adequately and appropriately? Note any incorrect formatting.5) Were all the citations in the text listed in the Literature Cited section? Note any discrepancies.	above this table. Text explaining the content of Table 5 is missing and should be added above that table. Score: (Total Points: 20) If two or more references are cited at one place in the article, they should be listed in the same bracket. In reference 1, add the numbers of pages, in ref. 2 add vol. and the no. of issue, in ref. 5 add vol., no. of issue and pages, in ref. 7 add pages, in ref. 9 add pages, in ref. 10 add number of issue, in ref. 12 add pages All the citations in the text were listed in the Literature Cited section.

7) Was the writer's writing style clear? Were the	The writer's writing style is clear and the paragraphs and
paragraphs and sentences cohesive?	sentences are cohesive.
CONTENT (50%)	Score: (Total Points: 50)
8) Did the writer adequately summarize and	The topic is generally well discussed and summarized.
discuss the topic? Explain.	On page 4, paragraph 3, replace 0.84<0.9 instead of
	0.84>0.9. In Table 2, row 3, column 7, improve the
	allignment of number 1.000
9) Did the writer comprehensively cover	The writer comprehensively covers appropriate materials
appropriate materials available from the	available from the standard sources.
standard sources? If no, what's missing?	
10) Did the writer make some contribution of	The author established a structural model for analyzing
thought to the paper, or merely summarize data	the educational values in Chinese mathematical
or publications? Explain.	Olympiad. Based on the obtained research results, it was
	indicated that mathematical Olympiad has 6
	educational values consisting of cultural awareness,
	literacy development, interest cultivation, willpower
	training, extracurricular learning and creativity
	cultivation, which are interrelated and have a benefit of
	building students' character and quality.
Overall Evaluation	
Accept/Minor Revision/Major Revision/Reject	

Comments to author(s):

Review of the article "A structural model to analyze the educational values in Chinese mathematical Olympiad"

In this study, a structural model for analyzing the educational values in Chinese mathematical Olympiad was established. Based on the obtained research results, it was indicated that mathematical Olympiad has 6 educational values consisting of cultural awareness, literacy development, interest cultivation, willpower training, extracurricular learning and creativity cultivation, which are interrelated and have a benefit of building students' character and quality.

This article is a significant contribution, within the scope of the conference and journal and suitable for publication after some minor revision.

The English language, grammar, as well as spelling have to be improved and corrected at some places.

It should be added and mentioned in Conclusion also, in the second sentence, that a structural model for analyzing the educational values in Chinese mathematical Olympiad was established in this research

Subheading 3.2 should be complemented, for example, as follows "Analysis results of the structural model", in order to clarify this section of the text.

Caption of Table 2 should be "Unstandardized regression coefficients, estimated with the maximum likelihood method".

Sentence below Table 2 should be placed above this table.

The order of material, some paragraphs of the text, figures and tables should be modified or changed at some places, in order to be more logical, clear and easy to follow.

The appropriate paragraph with the text explaining the content of the corresponding figure or table should be placed above that figure or table, including the last sentence that mentions what the adequate figure or table shows.

Text explaining the content of Table 5 is missing and should be added above that table.

If two or more references are cited at one place in the article, they should be listed in the same bracket. In reference 1, add the numbers of pages, in ref. 2 add vol. and the no. of issue, in ref. 5 add vol., no. of issue and pages, in ref. 7 add pages, in ref. 9 add pages, in ref. 10 add number of issue, in ref. 12 add pages

The topic is generally well discussed and summarized. On page 4, paragraph 3, replace 0.84 < 0.9 instead of 0.84 > 0.9. In Table 2, row 3, column 7, improve the allignment of number 1.000