

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_77151
Title of the Manuscript:	FLEURYA AESTUANS LEAVES AND TETRAHYDROXYFLAVONE RESTORES REPRODUCTIVE FUNCTIONS OF LEAD ACETATE INDUCED TESTICULAR TOXICITY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>This article is a significant contribution within the scope of the journal and suitable for publication after some minor revision.</p> <p>The English language should be improved at some places.</p> <p>On page 1, in the abstract, line 7, correct spelling behaviors instead of behaviours In the Introduction, line 2, correct etiology instead of aetiology</p> <p>On page 2, delete dot at the end of subheading: Collection and identification of plant material Paragraph 2, line 2, delete one space between certified and a plant taxonomist Paragraph 3, line 2, correct spelling pulverized instead of pulverised, use the first capital letter for Soxhlet device Lines 2, 3 and 5, use one space between the corresponding number and the unite of measure, as follows 690 g, 400 ml and 4 °C , as well as in the rest of the manuscript Paragrph 8, line 4, correct administration instead of admnstraton</p> <p>On page 3, paragraph 2, lines 1, 12 and 16, correct spelling behavior instead of behavior and in the rest of the manuscript as well Paragraph 5, line 2, copulation behavior can be used instead of copulatory behavior, as well as in the rest of the manuscript Paragraph 9, post ejaculation can be separated instead of postejaculatory</p> <p>On page 4, in subheadings of the first three paragraphs, copulation can be used instead of copulatory Paragraph 5, line 1, Hemo cytometer can be separated instead of Hemocytometer Paragraph 6, line 1, immune sorbent can be separated instead of immunosorbent Paragraph 8, state All results obtained from the study were presented in tables 1-6 and expressed as mean plus/minus standard error of mean (M±S.E.M) as below.</p> <p>Place tables 1-6 after the abovementioned statement</p> <p>Move after table 6 and before Figure 1 the next subheading and sentence Histology Fig. 1 & 2 illustrates the photomicrographs of transverse sections of the rat's testes and epididymis in the control group and test groups respectively.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

	<p>Add the following legend of Figure 1 below this figure and before the paragraph with more detailed explanation of the figure. Figure 1. The results of photomicrographs of the rat's testes in the control group and test groups respectively</p> <p>Add the following legend of Figure 2 below this figure, and before the paragraph with more detailed explanation of the figure. Figure 2. The results of photomicrographs of the rat's epididymis in the control group and test groups respectively</p> <p>On page 5, in the caption of Table 2, ejaculation parameters can be stated instead of ejaculatory parameters</p> <p>On page 6, in the caption of Table 3, copulation parameters can be stated instead of copulatory parameters</p> <p>In the first line after Table 3, add one space after Efficiency. before Values, In the caption of Table 4, delete one additional dot at the end of the sentence</p> <p>On page 7, below Table 4, add one space between KEY: and Values In the caption of Table 6, delete one space between on and oxidative Dots should be deleted at the ends of tables' captions</p> <p>On page 9, paragraph 1, line 2, de-flagellated can be separated instead of deflagellated</p> <p>On page 10, in Key: in the second line below Figure 2, delete one space after epithelial lining, before IMS= immature spermatozoa In the first paragraph of the text below Figure 2, line 5, state Fig 2e shows that normal epithelial lining contains mainly mature spermatozoa. In Discussion, paragraph 1, line 4, replace behavior instead of behavior</p> <p>On page 11, paragraph 2, line 1, correct recognized instead of recognised Line 7, hyper-prolactinemia can be divided Line 9, hyper-secretion can be divided Line 11, state steroid genesis, instead of steroidogenesis, as well as in the rest of the manuscript Paragraph 3, line 2, correct spelling hypothalamic-pituitary-testicular-pathway instead of testicular</p> <p>Add volume, journal number, and page numbers to references</p> <p>Format the entire manuscript according to the style of the journal.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This article is a significant contribution within the scope of the journal and suitable for publication after some revision.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> There are no ethical issues in this manuscript	
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?	There are no competing interest issues in this manuscript	
If plagiarism is suspected, please provide related proofs or web links.	There is no plagiarism suspected in this manuscript	

PART 3: Declaration of Competing Interest of the reviewer:

Here reviewer should declare his/her competing interest. If nothing to declare he/she can write "I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer"

I declare that I have no competing interest as a reviewer

PART 4: Objective Evaluation:

Guideline	MARKS of this manuscript
Give OVERALL MARKS you want to give to this manuscript (Highest: 10 Lowest: 0) Guideline: Accept As It Is: (>9-10) Minor Revision: (>8-9) Major Revision: (>7-8) Serious Major revision: (>5-7) Rejected (with repairable deficiencies and may be reconsidered): (>3-5) Strongly rejected (with irreparable deficiencies.): (>0-3)	Minor Revision: (>8-9)

PART 5: Reviewer Details:

**This information is mandatory to prepare the Reviewer Certificate properly.
Certificate preparation will not be possible if incomplete information is received.**

Name of the Reviewer	Tamara Jovanovic
Department of Reviewer	Department of Biomedical Engineering
University or Institution of Reviewer	Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Belgrade
Country of Reviewer	Serbia
Position: (Professor/lecturer, etc.) of Reviewer	Dr, Associate Research Professor
Email ID of Reviewer	tamara.jovanovic@sbb.rs tjovanovic@mas.bg.ac.rs
WhatsApp Number of Reviewer	Tel: +381 11 3541 885
5-8 Keywords regarding expertise of Reviewer	Materials, chemistry, medicinal and pharmaceutical chemistry, chemical technologies, nanotechnologies, biomedical engineering, spectroscopy