

# THE EXACT NATURAL FREQUENCY SOLUTION OF A FREE AXIAL-BENDING VIBRATION PROBLEM OF A NON-UNIFORM AFG CANTILEVER BEAM WITH A TIP BODY

Aleksandar Tomović<sup>1</sup>, Slaviša Šalinić<sup>2</sup>, Aleksandar Obradović<sup>1</sup>, Mihailo Lazarević<sup>1</sup>, Zoran Mitrović<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, The University of Belgrade, Kraljice Marije 16, 11120 Belgrade 35 e-mail: <u>atomovic@mas.bg.ac.rs</u>, <u>aobradovic@mas.bg.ac.rs</u>, <u>mlazarevic@mas.bg.ac.rs</u>, <u>zmitrovic@mas.bg.ac.rs</u>

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Mechanical and Civil Engineering in Kraljevo, The University of Kragujevac, Dositejeva 19, 36000 Kraljevo e-mail: <u>salinic.s@mfkv.kg.ac.rs</u>

Abstract: In this paper we present the solution of exact equations of motion for coupled axial and bending vibrations of a non-uniform axially functionally graded (AFG) cantilever beam with a body of which mass center is eccentrically displaced in axial and transverse direction with respect to the beam's end. The Euler-Bernoulli beam theory is implemented to model behavior of the beam under axial and transverse in-plane vibrations. Based on the paper [1], that is supported by results publish in the paper [2] authors confirm the obtained results of natural frequencies of the AFG cantilever beam, when boundary conditions define the vibration coupling. The modified symbolic-numeric method of initial parameters presented in [3] is implemented in computing natural frequencies of the beam. This method is expanded to solve axial-bending vibration of a cantilever beam. Some minor deviations in the obtained results may be noticed with respect to those obtained in [1], yet all within a tolerance domain due to the computational precision.

**Key words**: coupled vibrations, boundary conditions, natural frequency, functionally graded materials, modified symbolic-numeric method of initial parameters, cantilever beam

# 1. Introduction

Elastic beams, as one of the most commonly used structural elements, are omnipresent in engineering constructions. Due to increasing demands in the reduction of structural weights, while thermal or mechanical durability is not compromised, functionally graded materials have often been used, as engineering materials of choice. These materials are also more superior to steel in chemical resistance to aggressive substances and they can be highly attractive in terms of aesthetics demands. One of the main advantages of functionally graded materials to laminated composite materials is the absence of concentrated interlaminar stresses [4]. The reason of this absence is a characteristic of FGMs that changes of material characteristics occur smoothly, in a manner of gradient distribution from one end of a beam to the opposite one. Furthermore, a functionally graded material may be designed to satisfy specific constructional needs. Significant research has been implemented in producing parts of FGMs using additive manufacturing

techniques [5,6,7,8]. FGMs are mainly used in those applications where combinations of two extreme properties are required in a single component for example hardness and toughness [9]. We shall not discuss in details material characteristics of functionally graded materials in this paper. Readers are encouraged to look for details in the following literature sources [10,11,12].

In order to avoid natural frequencies, so designers may avoid resonant conditions, researchers have introduced and implemented various models for the modal analysis of AFG beams. Literature offers two different approaches to solving problems of vibration of functionally graded beams. One approach is based on solving the governing partial differential equations of motion, while the second one is based on the discretization of a beam to a set of rigid segments mutually connected by elastic elements. Methods based on discretization of elastic elements shall not be discussed in this paper. For further readings in this topic we may kindly suggest a literature review and the presented approach presented by Nikolić in [1].

Several theories are presented in the literature for modeling the elastic behavior of AFG beams, namely Euler-Bernoulli Theory, Timoshenko Beam Theory and higher order shear deformation theories. The Euler-Bernoulli deformation theory will be implemented in the background of the conducted computational research, as it was implemented in [13], when shooting method was used for solving problems of vibration of a beam made of non-homogeneous material. The presented paper discusses only cases when shear deformation and rotary inertia effect may be neglected in the analysis of beam's dynamical behavior. To compare results obtained using the modified symbolic numeric method of initial parameters with those gained through the numerically efficient rigid element method as in [1]. Temperature flux influences were not included in this paper, yet it can be treated in future research activities.

In this paper we present an implementation and generalization of the modified Symbolic-Numeric method of initial parameters presented in [3] in order to make a solid foundation model for determining natural frequencies of elastic beams. The proposed method does not pose any restrictions on a type of material or a cross-sectional profile of the cantilever beam. Only the case of a cantilever beam with attached eccentric end mass is considered in this paper.

### 2. Formulation of the problem

The elastic cantilever beam, introduced in Figure 1 is clamped at the left end, while the motion of the right end is unconstrained. Rigid body of mass  $m_R$  is attached to the right end of cantilever beam. Its mass center is eccentrically displaced as presented in the Figure 1. We analyze the beam's motion with respect to the inertial coordinate system *Oxyz*.



Fig. 1. An undeformed elastic cantilever beam with a tip mass presented schematically as in [1], except the orientation of coordinate system

Governing equations of motion in axial and transverse direction of presented cantilever beam under the assuption of validity of Euler-Bernouli beam theory, read as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ F_t(z,t) \right] - \rho(z) A(z) \frac{\partial^2 w(z,t)}{\partial t^2} = 0$$
(1)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ F_a(z,t) \right] - \rho(z) A(z) \frac{\partial^2 u(z,t)}{\partial t^2} = 0$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

After implementing the method of separation of variables on displacements, as presented in [14], one reads:

$$w(z,t) = W(z)T(t)$$
(3)

$$u(z,t) = U(z)T(t)$$
(4)

The axial, transverse force and the bending moment [14], using the method of separation of variables are respectively presented analytically by equations

$$F_a(z,t) = E(z)A(z)\frac{dU(z)}{dz}T(t) = F_a(z)T(t)$$
(5)

$$F_t(z,t) = \frac{d}{dz} \left( -E(z)I_x(z)\frac{d^2w(z)}{dz^2} \right) T(t) = F_t(z)T(t)$$
(6)

$$M_{f}(z,t) = -E(z)I_{x}(z)\frac{d^{2}W(z)}{dz^{2}}T(t) = M_{f}(z)T(t)$$
(7)

Let us introduce a vector X(z) and a vector of derivatives of arguments of X(z) and a matrix T(z) that connects them in the similarily to the one presented in [3]

$$\boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{U}(\boldsymbol{z}) & \boldsymbol{W}(\boldsymbol{z}) & \boldsymbol{W}'(\boldsymbol{z}) & \boldsymbol{F}_{a}(\boldsymbol{z}) & \boldsymbol{F}_{t}(\boldsymbol{z}) & \boldsymbol{M}_{f}(\boldsymbol{z}) \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$
(8)

$$\frac{d}{dz}X(z) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{dU(z)}{dz} & \frac{dW(z)}{dz} & \frac{dW'(z)}{dz} & \frac{dF_a(z)}{dz} & \frac{dF_a(z)}{dz} & \frac{dF_t(z)}{dz} & \frac{dM_f(z)}{dz} \end{bmatrix}^T$$
(9)

$$\boldsymbol{T}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{E(z)A(z)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-1}{E(z)I_x(z)} \\ -\omega^2\rho(z)A(z) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\omega^2\rho(z)A(z) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(10)

Now, we may write a system of linear differential equations in matrix form as

$$\frac{d}{dz}X(z) = T(z) \times X(z) \tag{11}$$

Boundary conditions at the left end of the cantilever beam (z=0) shown in Figure 1 read

$$U(0) = 0, W(0) = 0, W'(0) = 0$$
(12)

Boundary conditions at the right end of the cantilever beam (z=L) shown in Figure 1 read

$$-\omega^2 J_{C_r} W'(L) = M_f(L) + F_a(L) d_r + F_t(L) e_r$$
<sup>(13)</sup>

$$m_r \omega^2 \left[ U(L) + W'(L) d_r \right] = F_a(L)$$
<sup>(14)</sup>

$$m_r \omega^2 \Big[ W(L) + W'(L) e_r \Big] = F_t(L)$$
<sup>(15)</sup>

#### 3. Numerical procedure

Similarly to the model presented in [3], based on the linearity of the presented system and the implementation of the method of initial parameters in differential form [15] one may write a solution as a sum of particular solutions as

$$X(z,\omega) = C_1 X_1(z,\omega) + C_2 X_2(z,\omega) + C_3 X_3(z,\omega)$$
(16)

Where,  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  are integration constants, while vectors of particular solutions with respect to unknown natural frequency, as a symbolic variable, are defined as

$$\boldsymbol{X}_{i}(z,\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} U_{i}(z,\omega) & W_{i}(z,\omega) & W_{i}(z,\omega) & F_{ai}(z,\omega) & F_{ti}(z,\omega) & M_{fi}(z,\omega) \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$
  
i=1,2,3 (17)

Presented particular solutions shall satisfy the folowing boundary conditions

$$\boldsymbol{X}_{1}(0,\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$
(18)

$$\boldsymbol{X}_{2}(0,\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$
(19)

$$\boldsymbol{X}_{3}(0,\omega) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$
(20)

Constants  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  are determined form the condition that solution (16) satisfies boundary conditions (13-15). In that manner, let us define expressions  $a_{ji}(\omega)$ ,  $i_j = 1,2,3$  based on the boundary conditions (13-15)

$$a_{1i}(\omega) = -F_{ai}(L,\omega) + m_r \omega^2 \left( U_i(L,\omega) + W'_i(L,\omega) d_r \right), i=1,2,3$$
(21)

$$a_{2i}(\omega) = -F_{ii}(L,\omega) + m_r \omega^2 \left( W_i(L,\omega) + W'_i(L,\omega)e_r \right), i=1,2,3$$
(22)

$$a_{3i}(\omega) = M_{fi}(L,\omega) + \omega^2 J_{Cr} W_i'(L,\omega) + F_{ai}(L,\omega) d_r + F_{ti}(L,\omega) e_r , i=1,2,3$$
(23)

Thus, we obtain a system of linear equations as one may read

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11}(\omega) & a_{12}(\omega) & a_{13}(\omega) \\ a_{21}(\omega) & a_{22}(\omega) & a_{23}(\omega) \\ a_{31}(\omega) & a_{32}(\omega) & a_{33}(\omega) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \\ C_3 \end{bmatrix} = \boldsymbol{0}$$
(24)

The latter system of equations will have nontrivial solutions if

$$h(\omega) = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11}(\omega) & a_{12}(\omega) & a_{13}(\omega) \\ a_{21}(\omega) & a_{22}(\omega) & a_{23}(\omega) \\ a_{31}(\omega) & a_{32}(\omega) & a_{33}(\omega) \end{vmatrix} = 0$$
(25)

Using numerical procedures natural frequencies may be obtained by solving the equation (25), as it is explained in [3]. Constants  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  and  $C_3$  are not uniquely determined and it is not in the scope of this paper.

### 4. Numerical Example

The example for numerical calculations is based on the AFG cantilever beam with an eccentrically displaced mass as in Figure 1. The beam's characteristics are taken as in a paper published by Nikolic in [1].

The results presented in Table 1 correspond to those from Table 5 in [1].

Natural frequencies are presented in non-dimensional form computed by the expression

$$\overline{\omega}_i = \omega_i L^2 \sqrt{\frac{\rho_0 A_0}{E_0 I_{x0}}}$$
(26)

Natural frequencies of a cantilever beam with a tip mass are determined for material, crosssectional characteristics, length of a beam and various values of a tip mass as defined in the Case 3 of [1]

$$A(z) = A_0 (1 - 0.5z) , A_0 = 0.0025m^2$$

$$I_x(z) = I_0 (1 - 0.5z) , I_{x0} = 5.20833 \times 10^{-7}m^4$$

$$\rho(z) = \rho_0 (1 - 0.8\cos(\pi z)) , \rho_0 = 7850\frac{kg}{m^3}$$

$$E(z) = E_0 (1 - 0.2\cos(\pi z)) , E_0 = 2,068 \cdot 10^{11} \frac{N}{m^2}$$

$$L = 1m.$$
(27)

Results of exact solutions of non-dimensional natural frequencies obtained by the presented method are presented in Table 1. These results correspond to approximate solutions obtained by the discretization of the beam in [1].

$m_0$	$e_x/L$	$e_y/L$	$\overline{\omega_1}$	$\overline{\omega_2}$	$\overline{\omega_3}$
0.5	0	0	1.77924	16.31864	53.67654
		0.05	1.77588	15.63776	44.02430
		0.1	1.76583	13.80094	33.71129
		0.5	1.48856	5.06105	25.07258
	0.05	0	1.68764	14.17096	43.90148
		0.05	1.68463	13.69108	39.59564
		0.1	1.67565	12.43438	33.55737
		0.5	1.42882	5.18285	25.24057
	0.1	0	1.59647	11.93163	36.13141
		0.05	1.59381	11.64142	34.73042
		0.1	1.58586	10.87365	32.04515
		0.5	1.36782	5.25911	25.41614
	0.5	0	1.01764	5.84198	27.58303
		0.05	1.01680	5.82958	27.55965
		0.1	1.01430	5.79308	27.49181
		0.5	0.94204	5.00015	26.28668
1	0	0	1.36739	15.67383	51.89784
		0.05	1.36427	14.51755	36.39552
		0.1	1.35495	11.80404	28.46110
		0.5	1.10859	3.83966	23.80774
	0.05	0	1.28435	13.23746	40.48897
		0.05	1.28163	12.48766	34.28830
		0.1	1.27352	10.73735	28.96219
		0.5	1.05915	3.96814	23.90551
	0.1	0	1.20390	10.69414	32.42530
		0.05	1.20156	10.29798	30.83229
		0.1	1.19458	9.32437	28.37917
		0.5	1.00941	4.06588	24.01268
	0.5	0	0.73539	4.92549	25.73294
		0.05	0.73475	4.91250	25.71144
		0.1	0.73284	4.87441	25.64955
		0.5	0.67813	4.09147	24.66745

Table 1. Calculated non-dimensional	l natural frequencies for Case	3 of [1]
-------------------------------------	--------------------------------	----------

## 4.1 The influence of $\boldsymbol{r}_{\theta}$ and $m_{\theta}$ to natural frequencies

When solving system of ODEs (11), we introduce symbolic variables  $r_0$  and  $m_0$  and modify boundary conditions to modify the system (21-23) with the following system of equations

$$a_{1i}(\omega,\rho_0) = -F_{ai}(L,\omega,\rho_0) + m_r \omega^2 (U_i(L,\omega,\rho_0) + W_i'(L,\omega,\rho_0)d_r), i=1,2,3$$
(28)

$$a_{2i}(\omega,\rho_0) = -F_{ti}(L,\omega,\rho_0) + m_r \omega^2 (W_i(L,\omega,\rho_0) + W'_i(L,\omega,\rho_0)e_r) , i=1,2,3$$
(29)

$$a_{3i}(\omega,\rho_0) = M_{fi}(L,\omega,\rho_0) + \omega^2 J_{Cr} W_i'(L,\omega,\rho_0) + F_{ai}(L,\omega,\rho_0) d_r + F_{ti}(L,\omega,\rho_0) e_r$$
  
$$i=1,2,3$$
(30)

The frequency equation is then a determinant as a function of two symbolic variables

$$h(\omega, \rho_0) \equiv \begin{vmatrix} a_{11}(\omega, \rho_0) & a_{12}(\omega, \rho_0) & a_{13}(\omega, \rho_0) \\ a_{21}(\omega, \rho_0) & a_{22}(\omega, \rho_0) & a_{23}(\omega, \rho_0) \\ a_{31}(\omega, \rho_0) & a_{32}(\omega, \rho_0) & a_{33}(\omega, \rho_0) \end{vmatrix} = 0$$
(31)

Thus, we obtain a function of two symbolic variables, namely  $r_0$  and  $\omega$  and using CountourPlot[] command a relation of natural frequency change to the mass density of the beginning constituent material may be observed, as presented in Figure 2.



Fig. 2. The graphical correlation  $\omega - r_0$ 

Similarly to the previous case, we introduce parameters  $a_{ij}(\omega, m_0)$  as

$$a_{1i}(\omega, m_0) = -F_{ai}(L, \omega, m_0) + m_r \omega^2 \left( U_i(L, \omega, m_0) + W'_i(L, \omega, m_0) d_r \right), i=1,2,3$$
(32)

$$a_{2i}(\omega, m_0) = -F_{ii}(L, \omega, m_0) + m_r \omega^2 (W_i(L, \omega, m_0) + W_i'(L, \omega, m_0)e_r), i=1,2,3$$
(33)

$$a_{3i}(\omega, m_0) = M_{fi}(L, \omega, m_0) + \omega^2 J_{Cr} W'_i(L, \omega, m_0) + F_{ai}(L, \omega, m_0) d_r + F_{ti}(L, \omega, m_0) e_r,$$
  

$$i=1,2,3$$
(34)

The frequency equation is then a determinant of two symbolic variables

$$h(\omega, m_0) \equiv \begin{vmatrix} a_{11}(\omega, m_0) & a_{12}(\omega, m_0) & a_{13}(\omega, m_0) \\ a_{21}(\omega, m_0) & a_{22}(\omega, m_0) & a_{23}(\omega, m_0) \\ a_{31}(\omega, m_0) & a_{32}(\omega, m_0) & a_{33}(\omega, m_0) \end{vmatrix} = 0$$
(35)

Once again, we obtain a function of two symbolic variables, but now  $m_0$  and  $\omega$ . By implementing the CountourPlot[] command a relation of natural frequency change to the mass of a tip body ( $m = m_0 \rho_0 A_0 L$ ) may be observed, as presented in Figure 3.



Modern software tools enable us to easily discuss complex analytical problems. Symbolic computations allow us to discuss complex problems, as the case of vibration of AFG cantilever beams with the tip rigid body. Furthermore, parametric plot of a function of two variables give as a chance to discuss the relation between natural frequency of the cantilever beam and its material characteristics. As the results Figures 2 and 3 are obtained. Based on the graphs shown in presented figures, we observe that natural frequencies decrease as parameters  $r_0$  and  $m_0$  increases. This is what we could expect due to the nature of the discussed problem. It is notably to emphasize that the fourth natural frequency of the cantilever beam presented in the discussed problem decreases with the higher slope with the increase in  $m_0$  that may be observed from Figure 3. This however is not so easy to depict from the data shown in Table 1.

#### **5.** Conclusions

In this paper we have presented some possibilities of the modified symbolic-numeric method of initial parameters presented in [3] and used the method to solve problems of coupled axial and bending vibration of the AFG cantilever beam. The presented problem was discussed in [1] for the first time and we have confirmed results presented in [1] for the Case 3 of material and geometrical characteristic of the cantilever beam. The presented problem of vibration of axially functionally graded beams is described by the system of differential equation with variable parameters that depend on geometrical characteristics of the cantilever beam. The method, presented in [3] is expanded to solve the problem of coupled vibration of AFG cantilever beam. First three natural frequencies of a cantilever beam with a tip mass eccentrically displaced are calculated. The influence of the tip mass to natural frequencies is discussed, as well as the influence of mass density of one of the constituent materials. By using the proposed method iterative procedures in computing of natural frequencies for the presented problem are avoided so the computations are significantly faster, also no discretization technique was used. This is enabled by the possibility to use modern computer tools, where variables are treated in the symbolic manner.

## **Acknowledgment:**

This research was supported under Grant No. TR 35006 by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of Serbia. This support is gratefully acknowledged.

### References

- [1] Nikolić A., *Free vibration analysis of a non-uniform axially functionally graded cantilever beam with a tip body*, Arch Appl Mech, no. 87, pp. 1227–1241, 2017.
- [2] Obradović A., Šalinić S., Trifković D., Zorić N., Stokić Z., Free vibration of structures composed of rigid bodies and elastic beam segments, Journal of Sound and Vibration, no. 347, pp. 126–138, 2015.
- [3] Šalinić S.,Obradović A., Tomović A., *Free vibration analysis of axially functionally graded tapered, stepped, and continuously segmented rods and beams*, Composites Part B, no. 150, pp. 135–143, 2018.
- [4] Kokanee A., *Review on Functionally Graded Materials and various theories*, International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET), vol. 04, no. 09, pp. 890-893, September 2017.
- [5] Oxman N., Variable property rapid prototyping, Virtual and Physical Prototyping, 2011.
- [6] Spillane D., Meisel N.A. Kaweesa D., *Investigating the Impact of Functionally Graded Materials on Fatigue Life of Material Jetted Specimens*, Solid Freeform Fabrication Symposium, Austin, Texas, 2017.
- [7] Lu Y., Engeberg E.D., Choi J-W. Vatani M., *Combined 3D Printing Technologies and Material for Fabrication of Tactile Sensors*, International Journal of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing, vol. 16, no. 7, pp. 1375-1383, 2015.

- [8] Pei E., et.al. A Study of 4D Printing and Functionally Graded Additive Manufacturing, Assembly Automation, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 1-13, 2017.
- [9] Bhavar V., Kattire P., Thakare S., Patil S., Singh RKP., A Review on Functionally Gradient Materials (FGMs) and Their Applications, 4th International Conference on Mechanics and Mechatronics Research, 2017, pp. 1-9.
- [10] Drenchev L., Sobczak J., Metal Based Functionally Graded Materials. Bentham Science Publishers Ltd., 2009
- [11] Brahimi F. (Editor), Advances in Functionally Graded Materials and Structures. ExLi4EvA, 2016.
- [12] Akinlabi E. T. Mahamood R.M., Functionally Graded Materials, Springer, 2017.
- [13] Tomović A., A Novel Approach to the Free Axial-Bending Vibration Problem of Inhomogeneous Elastic Beams With Variable Cross-Sectional Profiles, The 6th International Congress of Serbian Society of Mechanics, Tara, 2017.
- [14] Rao S. S., Vibration of Continuous Systems. Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons inc., 2007.
- [15] Biderman V.L., Theory of mechanical Vibration. USSR, Moscow: Vysshaya Shkola, 1980.