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#### Abstract

Free in-plane vibration analysis of circular arches with varying cross-sections is studied by means of the symbolicnumeric method of initial parameters. The effects of axial extension, transverse shear deformation and rotatory inertia are considered. For various boundary conditions, natural frequencies of free in-plane vibration of circular arches with varying cross-sections are obtained. By comparing obtained results with previous ones available in the literature the effectiveness of application of the symbolic-numeric method of initial parameters to the problem considered is proven.


Keywords: Circular arch, Free vibration, Varying cross-section, Natural frequencies

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The circular arches represent important components of engineering structures. The vibration analysis of circular arches is one of important aspect of dynamic analysis of these structural components. The review of papers on this topic can be found in [1-4]. In the literature, the three principal dynamical models of circular arches were considered: the model with included the effects of axial extensibility, shear deformation and rotary inertia [511] (the so-called Timoshenko circular arches); the model with neglected the effects of both shear deformation and rotary inertia [12-15]; the model without the effects of axial extensibility, shear deformation and rotary inertia [ 16,17 ] (the so-called Euler-Bernoulli circular arches). The exact solution of the vibration problem of uniform Timoshenko circular arches was given in [6] and for stepped ones in [7]. Based on these solutions the approximate approach for vibration analysis of tapered Timoshenko circular arches was presented in [9]. Note that the similar idea is used in the approach for vibration analysis of tapered Euler-Bernoulli circular arches was given in [16]. The papers [10, 19-23] are interesting in that they consider the influence of functionally graded material on the vibration characteristics of circular arches.

The aim of this paper is to evaluate a method for the vibration analysis of tapered circular Timoshenko arches. The method is based on the use of the symbolicnumeric method of initial parameters [24]. To the authors' best knowledge of the literature, the method presented was not considered so far in scientific papers.

## 2. FORMULATION OF GOVERNING EQUATIONS

Figure 1 shows a thin elastic circular arch with varying cross-section in its undeformed configuration. Without loss of generality, it is assumed that the arch has a rectangular cross-section of constant width $b$ and variable height $h$ which is a function of the angular coordinate $\theta$.


Figure 1: The geometry of a circular arch with varying cross-section

By the angle $\theta$ a point on the arch centroidal curve is defined. Also, the following quantities are shown in Figure 1: $\mathbf{e}_{t}, \mathbf{e}_{n}$, and $\mathbf{e}_{b}$ are the unite vectors of tangent, principal normal, and binormal of the arch centroidal curve, respectively; $R$ is the radius of the undeformed centroidal curve of the arch; $\theta_{T}$ is the opening angle of the arch, $w$ represents the radial displacement of a point of the centroidal curve in the $\mathbf{e}_{n}$ direction; $u$ represents the tangential displacement of a point of the centroidal curve in the $\mathbf{e}_{t}$ direction; $\psi$ is the angle of rotation of the arch cross-section due to bending. The circular arch is made of a homogeneous material of the modulus of elasticity $E$, the mass density $\rho$, and the shear modulus $G$. It is taken that $\theta=0$ at the arch left end.

The corresponding governing differential equations of the free in-plane vibrations of the circular arch considered read [9]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d U}{d \theta}=W+\frac{R}{E A(\theta)} N  \tag{1}\\
\frac{d W}{d \theta}=-U+\frac{R}{G A(\theta) k} Q+R \Psi,  \tag{2}\\
\frac{d \Psi}{d \theta}=\frac{R}{E I_{b}(\theta)} M_{b}  \tag{3}\\
\frac{d M_{b}}{d \theta}=-R Q-R \rho \omega^{2} I_{b}(\theta) \Psi  \tag{4}\\
\frac{d N}{d \theta}=Q-R \rho A(\theta) \omega^{2} U  \tag{5}\\
\frac{d Q}{d \theta}=-N-R \rho A(\theta) \omega^{2} W \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $W$ and $U$ are the mode shape functions corresponding to the radial and tangential displacements, $w$ and $u$, respectively, $N, Q$, and $M_{b}$ are the mode shape functions of axial forces, shear forces, and bending moments at an arch cross-section, respectively, $k$ is the shear correction factor, and $\omega$ is the natural angular frequency of free in-plane vibration of the circular arch. In this paper, it is taken $k=5 / 6$ for the rectangular crosssections. The other expressions for the coefficient $k$ may be found in [25].

For the purpose of further exposition, let us introduce the following dimensionless quantities:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\xi=\frac{\theta}{\theta_{T}}, \bar{U}=\frac{U}{R}, \quad \bar{W}=\frac{W}{R}  \tag{7}\\
r=\frac{I_{b r}}{A_{r} R^{2}}, \bar{A}(\xi)=\frac{A(\theta)}{A_{r}}, \quad \overline{I_{b}}(\xi)=\frac{I_{b}(\theta)}{I_{b r}}  \tag{8}\\
\mu=\frac{E}{G k}=\frac{2(1+v)}{k}, \bar{N}=\frac{N}{E A_{r}}, \quad \bar{Q}=\frac{Q R^{2}}{E I_{b r}}  \tag{9}\\
\quad \bar{M}_{b}=\frac{M_{b} R}{E I_{b r}}, \quad \frac{d}{d \theta}=\frac{1}{\theta_{T}} \frac{d}{d \xi} \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $v$ is the Poisson's ratio and $A_{r}$ and $I_{b r}$ are the cross-sectional area and the cross-sectional area moment of inertia about axis $\mathbf{e}_{b}$, respectively, at a reference crosssection.
Using (7)-(10) the equation system (1)-(6) can be written in the following dimensionless form:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d \bar{U}}{d \xi}=\theta_{T} \bar{W}+\frac{\theta_{T}}{\bar{A}(\xi)} \bar{N}  \tag{11}\\
\frac{d \bar{W}}{d \xi}=-\bar{U} \theta_{T}+\frac{\theta_{T} r \mu}{\bar{A}(\xi)} \bar{Q}+\theta_{T} \Psi  \tag{12}\\
\frac{d \Psi}{d \xi}=\frac{\theta_{T}}{\bar{I}_{b}(\xi)} \bar{M}_{b}  \tag{13}\\
\frac{d \bar{M}_{b}}{d \xi}=-\theta_{T} \bar{Q}-r \theta_{T} \bar{I}_{b}(\xi) \bar{\omega}^{2} \Psi  \tag{14}\\
\frac{d \bar{N}}{d \xi}=r \theta_{T} \bar{Q}-r \theta_{T} A(\xi) \bar{\omega}^{2} \bar{U} \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \bar{Q}}{d \xi}=-\frac{\theta_{T}}{r} \bar{N}-\theta_{T} \bar{A}(\xi) \bar{\omega}^{2} \bar{W} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\omega}=\omega \sqrt{\frac{R^{4} \rho A_{r}}{E I_{b r}}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the dimensionless natural frequency. This differential equation system can be shown in the matrix form as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \overline{\mathbf{X}}(\xi)}{d \xi}=\mathbf{B} \overline{\mathbf{X}}(\xi) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathbf{X}}(\xi)=\left[\bar{U}(\xi), \bar{W}(\xi), \Psi(\xi), \bar{N}(\xi), \bar{Q}(\xi), \bar{M}_{b}(\xi)\right]^{T} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{B}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \theta_{T} & 0 \\
-\theta_{T} & 0 & \theta_{T} \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
-r \theta_{T} \bar{A}(\xi) \bar{\omega}^{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\theta_{T} \bar{A}(\xi) \bar{\omega}^{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -r \theta_{T} \bar{I}_{b}(\xi) \bar{\omega}^{2} \\
\theta_{T} / \bar{A}(\xi) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \theta_{T} r \mu / \bar{A}(\xi) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \theta_{T} / \bar{I}_{b}(\xi) \\
0 & r \theta_{T} & 0 \\
-\theta_{T} / r & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\theta_{T} & 0
\end{array}\right] .
\end{gather*}
$$

The corresponding boundary conditions are:

- clamped left arch end

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{U}(0)=0, \bar{W}(0)=0, \Psi(0)=0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

- hinged left arch end

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{U}(0)=0, \bar{W}(0)=0, \bar{M}_{b}(0)=0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

- clamped right arch end

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{U}(1)=0, \bar{W}(1)=0, \Psi(1)=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

- hinged right arch end

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{U}(1)=0, \bar{W}(1)=0, \bar{M}_{b}(1)=0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

- free right arch end

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{N}(1)=0, \bar{Q}(1)=0, \bar{M}_{b}(1)=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The differential equation system (18) and the relations (21)-(25) form a two-point boundary value problem of the free in-plane vibration of the circular arch with varying cross-section. The equations system (11)-(16) covers some special cases. Namely if the assumption of inextensibility of the arch centroidal line is used then the equation (11) should be replaced with:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \bar{U}}{d \xi}=\theta_{T} \bar{W} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, if rotatory inertia effect is ignored then the equation (14) should be replaced with:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \bar{M}_{b}}{d \xi}=-\theta_{T} \bar{Q} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, if transverse shear effect is not considered then the equation (12) should be replaced with:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \bar{W}}{d \xi}=-\bar{U} \theta_{T}+\theta_{T} \Psi \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. SOLUTION PROCEDURE

In this paper the two-point boundary value problem formulated will be solved by using the symbolic-numeric method of initial parameters [24]. Since (18) represents a linear system of differential equations then its solution can be represented as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathbf{X}}(\xi)=C_{1} \overline{\mathbf{X}}_{1}(\xi, \bar{\omega})+C_{2} \overline{\mathbf{X}}_{2}(\xi, \bar{\omega})+C_{3} \overline{\mathbf{X}}_{3}(\xi, \bar{\omega}) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}, C_{2}$, and $C_{3}$ are the integration constants and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{1}(\xi, \bar{\omega})=\left[\bar{U}_{1}(\xi, \bar{\omega}), \bar{W}_{1}(\xi, \bar{\omega}), \Psi_{1}(\xi, \bar{\omega}), \bar{N}_{1}(\xi, \bar{\omega})\right. \\
\left.\bar{Q}_{1}(\xi, \bar{\omega}), \bar{M}_{b 1}(\xi, \bar{\omega})\right]^{T}  \tag{30}\\
\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{2}(\xi, \bar{\omega})=\left[\bar{U}_{2}(\xi, \bar{\omega}), \bar{W}_{2}(\xi, \bar{\omega}), \Psi_{2}(\xi, \bar{\omega}), \bar{N}_{2}(\xi, \bar{\omega}),\right. \\
 \tag{31}\\
\left.\bar{Q}_{2}(\xi, \bar{\omega}), \bar{M}_{b 2}(\xi, \bar{\omega})\right]^{T}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\overline{\mathbf{X}}_{3}(\xi, \bar{\omega})=\left[\bar{U}_{3}(\xi, \bar{\omega}), \bar{W}_{3}(\xi, \bar{\omega}), \Psi_{3}(\xi, \bar{\omega}), \bar{N}_{3}(\xi, \bar{\omega}),\right. \\
\left.\bar{Q}_{3}(\xi, \bar{\omega}), \bar{M}_{b 3}(\xi, \bar{\omega})\right]^{T} \tag{32}
\end{gather*}
$$

are the particular solutions obtained by integrating the differential equations system (18) using the built-in function ParametricNDSolve[] in Mathematica programming package with the following initial conditions, respectively:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{U}(0)=0, \bar{W}(0)=0, \Psi(0)=0 \\
& \bar{N}(0)=1, \bar{Q}(0)=0, M_{b}(0)=0  \tag{33}\\
& \bar{U}(0)=0, \bar{W}(0)=0, \Psi(0)=0, \\
& \bar{N}(0)=0, \bar{Q}(0)=1, M_{b}(0)=0 \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{U}(0)=0, \bar{W}(0)=0, \Psi(0)=0  \tag{35}\\
& \bar{N}(0)=0, \bar{Q}(0)=0, M_{b}(0)=1
\end{align*}
$$

for the clamped left arch end, whereas for the hinged left arch end the following corresponding initial conditions are used:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{U}(0)=0, \bar{W}(0)=0, \Psi(0)=1, \\
& \bar{N}(0)=0, \bar{Q}(0)=0, M_{b}(0)=0  \tag{36}\\
& \bar{U}(0)=0, \bar{W}(0)=0, \Psi(0)=0, \\
& \bar{N}(0)=1, \bar{Q}(0)=0, M_{b}(0)=0 \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{U}(0)=0, \bar{W}(0)=0, \Psi(0)=0  \tag{38}\\
& \bar{N}(0)=0, \bar{Q}(0)=1, M_{b}(0)=0
\end{align*}
$$

From the condition that the solution (29) satisfies the boundary conditions (23)-(25) it follows that for clamped arch right end one has:

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{1} \bar{U}_{1}(1, \bar{\omega})+C_{2} \bar{U}_{2}(1, \bar{\omega})+C_{3} \bar{U}_{3}(1, \bar{\omega})=0 \\
& C_{1} \bar{W}_{1}(1, \bar{\omega})+C_{2} \bar{W}_{2}(1, \bar{\omega})+C_{3} \bar{W}_{3}(1, \bar{\omega})=0,  \tag{39}\\
& C_{1} \Psi_{1}(1, \bar{\omega})+C_{2} \Psi_{2}(1, \bar{\omega})+C_{3} \Psi_{3}(1, \bar{\omega})=0
\end{align*}
$$

whereas for hinged right arch end one has:

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{1} \bar{U}_{1}(1, \bar{\omega})+C_{2} \bar{U}_{2}(1, \bar{\omega})+C_{3} \bar{U}_{3}(1, \bar{\omega})=0 \\
& C_{1} \bar{W}_{1}(1, \bar{\omega})+C_{2} \bar{W}_{2}(1, \bar{\omega})+C_{3} \bar{W}_{3}(1, \bar{\omega})=0  \tag{40}\\
& C_{1} \bar{M}_{b 1}(1, \bar{\omega})+C_{2} \bar{M}_{b 2}(1, \bar{\omega})+C_{3} \bar{M}_{b 3}(1, \bar{\omega})=0
\end{align*}
$$

and finally for the free arch right end it holds that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{1} \bar{N}_{1}(1, \bar{\omega})+C_{2} \bar{N}_{2}(1, \bar{\omega})+C_{3} \bar{N}_{3}(1, \bar{\omega})=0, \\
& C_{1} \bar{Q}_{1}(1, \bar{\omega})+C_{2} \bar{Q}_{2}(1, \bar{\omega})+C_{3} \bar{Q}_{3}(1, \bar{\omega})=0,  \tag{41}\\
& C_{1} \bar{M}_{b 1}(1, \bar{\omega})+C_{2} \bar{M}_{b 2}(1, \bar{\omega})+C_{3} \bar{M}_{b 3}(1, \bar{\omega})=0
\end{align*}
$$

The equations systems (39)-(41) represent homogeneous systems of equations in unknowns $C_{1}, C_{2}$, and $C_{3}$. In order that these systems can have non-trivial solutions for $C_{1}, C_{2}$, and $C_{3}$, the determinants of their corresponding coefficients matrix must be equal to zero, that is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{C}(\bar{\omega}) \equiv \operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\bar{U}_{1}(1, \bar{\omega}) & \bar{U}_{2}(1, \bar{\omega}) & \bar{U}_{3}(1, \bar{\omega}) \\
\bar{W}_{1}(1, \bar{\omega}) & \bar{W}_{2}(1, \bar{\omega}) & \bar{W}_{3}(1, \bar{\omega}) \\
\Psi_{1}(1, \bar{\omega}) & \Psi_{2}(1, \bar{\omega}) & \Psi_{3}(1, \bar{\omega})
\end{array}\right]=0,(4  \tag{42}\\
& f_{H}(\bar{\omega}) \equiv \operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\bar{U}_{1}(1, \bar{\omega}) & \bar{U}_{2}(1, \bar{\omega}) & \bar{U}_{3}(1, \bar{\omega}) \\
\bar{W}_{1}(1, \bar{\omega}) & \bar{W}_{2}(1, \bar{\omega}) & \bar{W}_{3}(1, \bar{\omega}) \\
\bar{M}_{b 1}(1, \bar{\omega}) & \bar{M}_{b 2}(1, \bar{\omega}) & \bar{M}_{b 3}(1, \bar{\omega})
\end{array}\right]=0,  \tag{43}\\
& f_{F}(\bar{\omega}) \equiv \operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\bar{N}_{1}(1, \bar{\omega}) & \bar{N}_{2}(1, \bar{\omega}) & \bar{N}_{3}(1, \bar{\omega}) \\
\bar{Q}_{1}(1, \bar{\omega}) & \bar{Q}_{2}(1, \bar{\omega}) & \bar{Q}_{3}(1, \bar{\omega}) \\
\bar{M}_{b 1}(1, \bar{\omega}) & \bar{M}_{b 2}(1, \bar{\omega}) & \bar{M}_{b 3}(1, \bar{\omega})
\end{array}\right]=0 . \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

The relations (42)-(44) represent the corresponding form of frequency equations for, respectively, clamped, hinged and free right arch ends. For easier evaluation of roots of the equations (42), (43), and (44), the graphs of the functions $f_{C}(\bar{\omega}), f_{H}(\bar{\omega})$, and $f_{F}(\bar{\omega})$ obtained by using the built-in function Plot[] in Mathematica programming package may be used. The values of the natural frequencies can be obtained by means of the Mathematica built-in function FindRoot[].

## 4. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

4.1. Uniform Euler-Bernoulli circular arches

In this section, the accuracy of the proposed approach in the case of the effects of axial extension, transverse shear deformation and rotatory inertia ignored is examined. For both clamped-clamped and hingedhinged boundary conditions values of the dimensionless frequency coefficient $c=\theta_{T}^{2} \bar{\omega}$ are calculated for various values of the opening angle $\theta_{T}$. These values are shown in Tables 1 and 2.
Table 1: The lowest five dimensionless frequency coefficients $\quad c_{i}=\theta_{T}^{2} \bar{\omega}_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 5)$ of clamped-clamped uniform Euler-Bernoulli circular arches for $r=1 / 2500$ and various values of the opening angle $\theta_{T}$

| $\theta_{T}[\mathrm{rad}]$ | Mode | $[6]$ | This study |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\pi / 2$ | 1 | 55.82523 | 55.82521 |
|  | 2 | 106.7301 | 106.7304 |
|  | 3 | 193.0345 | 193.0354 |
|  | 4 | 284.8229 | 284.8374 |
| $2 \pi / 3$ | 1 | 51.96935 | 51.96931 |
|  | 2 | 103.5760 | 103.5765 |
|  | 3 | 188.3591 | 188.3597 |
|  | 4 | 281.2906 | 281.2913 |
| $\pi$ | 1 | 43.27259 | 43.27257 |
|  | 2 | 95.26028 | 95.26036 |
|  | 3 | 176.8800 | 176.8810 |
|  | 4 | 271.6560 | 271.6495 |

Table 2: The lowest five dimensionless frequency coefficients $\quad c_{i}=\theta_{T}^{2} \bar{\omega}_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 5) \quad$ of hinged-hinged uniform Euler-Bernoulli circular arches for $r=1 / 2500$ and various values of the opening angle $\theta_{T}$

| $\theta_{T}[\mathrm{rad}]$ | Mode | $[6]$ | This study |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\pi / 2$ | 1 | 33.96053 | 33.96054 |
|  | 2 | 79.95263 | 79.95272 |
|  | 3 | 152.1706 | 152.1712 |
|  | 4 | 237.9724 | 237.9718 |
| $2 \pi / 3$ | 1 | 30.38416 | 30.38415 |
|  | 2 | 76.74733 | 76.74741 |
|  | 3 | 148.1494 | 148.1496 |
|  | 4 | 234.5716 | 234.5713 |
| $\pi$ | 1 | 22.37183 | 22.37184 |
|  | 2 | 68.33021 | 68.33020 |
|  | 3 | 137.9534 | 137.9541 |
|  | 4 | 225.2190 | 225.2200 |

### 4.2. Uniform Timoshenko circular arches

In this section, the accuracy of the proposed approach in the case when the effects of axial extension, transverse shear deformation and rotatory inertia are taken into account. For both clamped-clamped and hingedhinged boundary conditions values of the frequency coefficient $c=\theta_{T}^{2} \bar{\omega}$ are calculated for $r=1 / 2500$ and various values of the opening angle $\theta_{T}$. These values are shown in Tables 3 and 4.

Table 3: The lowest five dimensionless frequency coefficients $c_{i}=\theta_{T}^{2} \bar{\omega}_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 5)$ of clamped-clamped uniform Timoshenko circular arches for $r=1 / 2500$ and various values of the opening angle $\theta_{T}$

| $\theta_{T}[\mathrm{rad}]$ | Mode | $[6]$ | This study |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\pi / 2$ | 1 | 53.96596 | 53.96698 |
|  | 2 | 86.19077 | 86.19724 |
|  | 3 | 132.7272 | 132.7371 |
|  | 4 | 175.8392 | 175.8474 |
|  | 5 | 265.8141 | 265.8130 |
| $2 \pi / 3$ | 1 | 50.93224 | 50.93284 |
|  | 2 | 96.85173 | 96.85474 |
|  | 3 | 178.1998 | 178.2048 |
|  | 4 | 198.0489 | 198.0699 |
|  | 5 | 282.9555 | 282.9633 |
| $\pi$ | 1 | 42.86968 | 42.86991 |
|  | 2 | 93.26808 | 93.26909 |
|  | 3 | 172.2951 | 172.2978 |
|  | 4 | 258.4766 | 258.4856 |
|  | 5 | 372.7893 | 372.5899 |

Table 4: The lowest five dimensionless frequency coefficients $c_{i}=\theta_{T}^{2} \bar{\omega}_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 5)$ of hinged-hinged uniform Timoshenko circular arches for $r=1 / 2500$ and various values of the opening angle $\theta_{T}$

| $\theta_{T}[\mathrm{rad}]$ | Mode | $[6]$ | This study |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\pi / 2$ | 1 | 33.46323 | 33.46350 |
|  | 2 | 74.34122 | 74.34354 |
|  | 3 | 121.4958 | 121.5088 |
|  | 4 | 144.0231 | 144.0274 |
|  | 5 | 226.3381 | 226.3465 |
| $2 \pi / 3$ | 1 | 30.12124 | 30.12138 |
|  | 2 | 74.69487 | 74.69574 |
|  | 3 | 143.4124 | 143.4163 |
|  | 4 | 197.2652 | 197.2830 |
|  | 5 | 242.4045 | 242.4115 |
| $\pi$ | 1 | 22.28359 | 22.28363 |
|  | 2 | 67.67219 | 67.67259 |
|  | 3 | 135.8837 | 135.8850 |
|  | 4 | 219.2887 | 219.2796 |
|  | 5 | 323.9065 | 323.8902 |

4.3. Timoshenko circular arches with varying crosssections

In this section, the accuracy of our approach in the case of Timoshenko circular arches with varying crosssections is analysed. Both unsymetric arches with varying cross-section height defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\theta)=h_{c}\left(1-\eta+2 \eta \theta / \theta_{T}\right), \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \theta_{T} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

and symmetric arches with varying cross-section height prescribed as:

$$
h(\theta)= \begin{cases}h_{c}\left(1+\eta-2 \eta \theta / \theta_{T}\right), & 0 \leq \theta \leq \theta_{T} / 2  \tag{46}\\ h_{c}\left(1-\eta+2 \eta \theta / \theta_{T}\right), & \theta_{T} / 2 \leq \theta \leq \theta_{T}\end{cases}
$$

are considered where $h_{c}$ represents the cross-section height at the arch crown and $\eta$ is the taper ratio. Note that here, the cross-section width $b$ is constant. Also, the reference cross-section is plased at the arch crown which means that:

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{r}=b h_{c},  \tag{47}\\
I_{b r}=\frac{b h_{c}^{3}}{12} . \tag{48}
\end{gather*}
$$

For both clamped-clamped and hinged-hinged boundary conditions, the corresponding values of dimensionless natural frequencies $\bar{\omega}_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 4)$ are calculated for $r=1 / 2500, \eta=0.1$, and various values of the opening angle $\theta_{T}$. These values are shown in Tables 5 and 6.

Table 5: The lowest four dimensionless natural frequencies $\bar{\omega}_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 2)$ of tapered clamped-clamped Timoshenko symmetric circular arches for $r=1 / 2500$, $\eta=0.1$, and various values of the opening angle $\theta_{T}$

| $\theta_{T}$ [rad] | Mode | $[9]$ | This study |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\pi / 18$ | 1 | 433.46730 | 433.46807 |
|  | 2 | 848.3639 | 848.3653 |
| $\pi / 9$ | 1 | 161.500908 | 161.502319 |
|  | 2 | 346.5162 | 346.5176 |
| $\pi / 6$ | 1 | 88.1269568 | 88.1275550 |
|  | 2 | 185.2505 | 185.2518 |
| $2 \pi / 9$ | 1 | 61.7200715 | 61.7203672 |
|  | 2 | 113.0604 | 113.0616 |
| $5 \pi / 18$ | 1 | 50.8647280 | 50.8648687 |
|  | 2 | 75.13195 | 75.13295 |

Table 6: The lowest four dimensionless natural frequencies $\quad \bar{\omega}_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 4)$ of tapered hinged-hinged Timoshenko unsymmetric circular arches for $r=1 / 2500$, $\eta=0.1$, and various values of the opening angle $\theta_{T}$

| $\theta_{T}[\mathrm{rad}]$ | Mode | $[9]$ | This study |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2 \pi / 9$ | 1 | 48.6310055 | 48.6310041 |
|  | 2 | - | 73.5871226 |
|  | 3 | - | 159.537886 |
|  | 4 | - | 227.199368 |
| $5 \pi / 18$ | 1 | 45.66166116 | 45.6616819 |
|  | 2 | - | 47.5315845 |
|  | 3 | - | 106.612136 |
|  | 4 | - | 172.488529 |
| $\pi / 3$ | 1 | 32.51755826 | 32.5176282 |
|  | 2 | - | 44.1841916 |
|  | 3 | - | 76.7889457 |
|  | 4 | - | 125.924402 |
| $4 \pi / 9$ | 1 | 17.59579627 | 17.5958086 |
|  | 2 | - | 36.3558497 |
|  | 3 | - | 52.0315664 |
|  | 4 | - | 73.3148923 |

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper the symbolic-numeric method of initial parameters developed in [24] has been applied to the problem of in-plane vibration of circular arches with varying cross-sections. Through the numerical examples shown in Section 4 the effectiveness of application of the symbolic-numeric method of initial parameters to the vibration problems considered has been proved. The proposed approach allows also the vibration analysis in the case when the effects of axial extension, transverse shear deformation and rotatory inertia are ignored as well as in the case when the effects of transverse shear deformation and rotatory inertia are not included in the arch model. It is the goal of future work to expand the symbolic-numeric method of initial parameters to vibration analysis of circular arches made of functionally graded materials [10, 18-23], noncircular curved beams [3] (such as parabolic, sinusoidal, and elliptical arches) as well as arches carrying concentrated masses [26,27].
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